
9.2 Efficiency (Rao-Cramer Inequality)

It is usually possible to obtain more than one unbiased estimator for the same target parameter θ . If $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ denote two unbiased estimators for the same parameter θ , we prefer to use the estimator with the smaller variance. That is, if both estimators are unbiased, $\hat{\theta}_1$ is relatively more efficient than $\hat{\theta}_2$ if $Var(\hat{\theta}_2) > Var(\hat{\theta}_1)$.

Definition 9.2-1: The **relative efficiency** of an unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ to another unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}^*$ of θ is given by

$$Re(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}^*) = \frac{Var(\hat{\theta}^*)}{Var(\hat{\theta})}.$$

An unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}^*$ of θ is said to be **efficient** if $Re(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}^*) \leq 1$ for all unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ , and all $\theta \in \Omega$. The **efficiency** of an unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ is given by

$$e(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}^*) = Re(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}^*)$$

if $\hat{\theta}^*$ is an efficient estimator of θ . ■

An interesting result by Rao-Cramer helps decide among several estimators since it provides a lower bound for the variance of every **unbiased** estimator of θ . Thus we know that if a certain unbiased estimator has a variance equal to that lower bound, we cannot find a better one and hence it is the best in the sense of being the **uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator** (UMVUE). We describe the **Cramer-Rao lower bound (CRLB)** here without proof.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with p.d.f. $f(x; \theta)$, $\theta \in \Omega = \{\theta : c < \theta < d\}$, where the support of X does not depend on θ so that we can differentiate, with respect to θ , under integral signs like that in the following integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x; \theta) d\theta = 1.$$

If $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is an **unbiased** estimator of θ , then

$$\begin{aligned} Var(Y) &\geq \frac{1}{n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{[\partial \ln f(x; \theta) / \partial \theta]\}^2 f(x; \theta) dx} \\ &= \frac{1}{-n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\partial^2 \ln f(x; \theta) / \partial \theta^2 \right] \right\} f(x; \theta) dx} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the two integrals in the respective denominators are the expectations

$$E \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad E \left\{ \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] \right\};$$

sometimes one is easier to compute than the other. It can be shown that

$$E\left\{\left[\frac{\partial \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right]^2\right\} = -E\left\{\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right]\right\}$$

The CRLB can be discussed more generally as follows: If $Y = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is an **unbiased** estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) &\geq \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{[\partial \ln f(x; \theta) / \partial \theta]\}^2 f(x; \theta) dx} \\ &= \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{-n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{[\partial^2 \ln f(x; \theta) / \partial \theta^2]\} f(x; \theta) dx}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 9.2-2: Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Assume that σ^2 is known and set $\mu = \theta$. Then

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

and hence

$$\ln f(x; \theta) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma}\right) - \frac{(x - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Next,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{(x - \theta)}{\sigma},$$

so that

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x; \theta)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{(x - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2}.$$

Then

$$E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X; \theta)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}.$$

Thus the CRLB is σ^2/n . Once again, \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of θ and its variance is equal to σ^2/n , that is the CRLB. Therefore, \bar{X} is a UMVUE of θ .

Note that X_1 is another unbiased estimator of θ with variance σ^2 . Its **efficiency** is $(\sigma^2/n)/\sigma^2 = 1/n$. This is the one of reasons to choose \bar{X} to estimate θ instead of any individual observation. \blacksquare

Example 9.2-3: Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Suppose that μ is known and set $\sigma^2 = \theta$. Then

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\theta}\right], \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

so that

$$\ln f(x; \theta) = \frac{-1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \theta - \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\theta}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x; \theta) = \frac{-1}{2\theta} + \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\theta^2}.$$

Then

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x; \theta) \right]^2 = \frac{1}{4\theta^2} - \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4\theta^2} \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right)^4$$

and since $(X - \mu)/\sqrt{\theta}$ is $N(0, 1)$, we obtain

$$E\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^4 = 3.$$

Therefore

$$E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X; \theta)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{2\theta^2}$$

and the CRLB is $2\theta^2/n$. Next,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{X_j - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2(n),$$

so that

$$E\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{X_j - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right)^2\right] = n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{X_j - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right)^2\right] = 2n.$$

Therefore $(1/n)\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)^2$ is an unbiased estimator of θ and its variance is $2\theta^2/n$, equal to the CRLB. Thus $(1/n)\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)^2$ is UMVUE of θ . \blacksquare

Example 9.2-4: Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We assume that both μ and σ^2 are unknown and set $\mu = \theta_1$ and $\sigma^2 = \theta_2$. Suppose that we are interested in finding a UMVUE estimator of θ_2 . It can be shown that the CRLB is again equal to $2\theta_2^2/n$ by treating θ_1 as a constant and θ_2 as the parameter θ . We have shown that $S^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2 / (n - 1)$ is an unbiased estimator of θ_2 . Since

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\theta_2} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{X_j - \bar{X}}{\sqrt{\theta_2}} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1),$$

it follows that

$$\text{Var}(S^2) = \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2\right] = \frac{2\theta_2^2}{n-1} > \frac{2\theta_2^2}{n},$$

the RCLB. However, $S^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)^2 / (n - 1)$ is indeed a UMVUE of θ_2 (it will be shown later). That is, the CRLB is not attained for the UMVUE estimator of θ_2 , when θ_1 is unknown. \blacksquare